**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-9, 11-12, 17-36 and 41-47 are pending in the present application. By this Reply,

claim 10 and non-elected claims 13-16 and 37-40 have been canceled and new claims 41-47

directed to the elected invention are added. Claims 1, 8, 17, 29, 41 and 44 are independent

claims.

Withdrawal of the Non-Elected Claims

On page 1 of the Office Action dated May 27, 2005, the Examiner has indicated that

claims 13-16, 30 and 37 are withdrawn from consideration. Applicants treat this as a

typographical error since claims 17-36 are properly indicated as allowed, and assume that non-

elected claims 13-16 and 37-40 instead are withdrawn from consideration for the purposes of

preparing the present Reply.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's indication that claims 1-7 and 17-36 are allowed

over the prior art of record.

Applicants further acknowledge the Examiner's indication that claim 10 is objected to as

being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent

15

form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Docket No.: 2658-0309P

Page 16

To expedite prosecution only, independent claim 8 has been amended to include

allowable claim 10. Thus, indication of allowance of claim 8 and its dependent claims 9, 11-12

and 47 is deemed proper and respectfully requested.

35 U.S.C. § 102 & § 103 Rejections

Claim 8 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Tomita (U.S.

Patent No. 6,630,840). Claim 9 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Tomita in view of Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,377,030). Claim 11 has been rejected

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomita in view of Hayashi (U.S. Patent No.

5,657,139). Claim 12 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Tomita in view of Fujikawa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,909,264). These rejections, insofar as they

pertain to the presently pending claims, are respectfully traversed.

As discussed above, independent claim 8 has been amended to incorporate allowable

claim 10 only to expedite prosecution and without acquiescing to any of the Examiner's

allegations made to reject claims 8-9 and 11-12. Thus, claim 8 and its dependent claims (due to

their dependency) are patentable over the applied reference(s), and the rejections are improper

and should be withdrawn.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

16

EHC/sld

Docket No.: 2658-0309P

Page 17

**New Claims** 

New claims 41-46 emphasize similar or other distinguishing features of the present

invention over the prior art of record. For instance, the first inspection line to which a gate-off

voltage lower than a threshold voltage of the first transistor is applied, and the second inspection

line to which a gate-on voltage higher than a threshold voltage of the second transistor is applied,

as recited in independent claims 41 and 44, are not taught or suggested by the prior art of record

including Tomita. Claim 47 is believed to be allowable as being dependent on now allowable

claim 8. Thus, early indication of allowance of the new claims is respectfully requested.

**Conclusion** 

For the foregoing reasons and in view of the above clarifying amendments, the Examiner

is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw all of the objections and rejections of

record, and to provide an early issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters which need to be resolved in the present

application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Esther H. Chong (Registration No.

40,953) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to

expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

Birch, Stewart, Kolasch & Birch, LLP

17

EHC/sld

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and further replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: August 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

Esther H. Chong

Registration No.: 40,953

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Rd

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

18